

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 12/16/2003 10/736,228 Valerie Merle Friesen 82910-2602 ADB 3156 EXAMINER 23529 7590 08/24/2004 ADE & COMPANY CARTER, MONICA SMITH 1700-360 MAIN STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WINNIPEG, MB R3C3Z3 CANADA 3722

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	~
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/736,228	FRIESEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Monica S. Carter	3722	
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum status of the period for reply specified above, the maximum status. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recatain. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT I, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>16 December 2003</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b))⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	•	• •	
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to b	n) accepted or b) objected to b on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand de correction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ;) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<u> </u>	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been r Il Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/15/04. 		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 11, line 3, "the exception" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 1-7 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Speyer (2003/0098579).

Speyer discloses a portable media for confidential information comprising a first substrate (1) having a front and rear surface; a second substrate (1') having a front and rear surface; the first substrate being arranged with the rear surface overlying the front surface of the second substrate; a fastening material fastening the overlying first and second substrates together along a line (see page 1, col. 2, [0017] and figure 1); such that the first substrate can be folded about the line (7) to bring the two parts together (as

Art Unit: 3722

seen in figure 2); so as to form a booklet of four sheet and eight surfaces; the substrates being printed with confidential information and game indicia printed on the surfaces and being covered by a layer of scratch-off material (see page 2, col. 2, [0035].

The game indicia being printed by variable image printing and the first and second substrates being supplied in an initial condition for dispensing in a flat unfolded condition do not structurally limit the claim. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. Product-by-Process claims are not limited to the manipulations of recited steps, only the structure implied by the steps. (See MPEP 2113)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Speyer. Speyer discloses the claimed invention except for the specific arrangement and/or content of indicia (printed matter) set forth in the claim(s). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide any desired indicia on the substrates, since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not

Art Unit: 3722

distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. *In re Gulack*, 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983). The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an individual with a specific type of substrate does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the substrate for the printed matter is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability. Thus, there is no novel and unobvious functional relationship between the printed matter and the substrate which is required for patentability.

7. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Speyer in view of Scrymgeour et al. (6,145,885).

Speyer discloses the claimed invention except for game ticket constructions being connected to each other and having transverse rows of perforations dividing each from the next and fan folded for dispensing.

Scrymgeour et al. disclose a game ticket construction wherein a plurality of game tickets are connected to each other and have transverse rows of perforations (132) dividing each from the next (as seen in figure 5) and fan folded (as seen in figure 8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Speyer's invention to include a plurality of game tickets connected by perforations, as taught by Scrymgeour et al., to enable the tickets to be carried on a continuous web of material.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,228

Art Unit: 3722

Conclusion

Page 5

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. The cited references disclose game tickets.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Monica S. Carter whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (6:30 AM - 4:00

PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrea L. Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 19, 2004

MONICAS. CARTER

PRIMARY EXAMINER